1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RANDY A. SCALES, No. 2:17-cv-1004-EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS** 14 P. AGWARA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed May 3, 2018, the court found that plaintiff had stated a potentially 19 cognizable claim for Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to serious medical needs against 20 defendants Buckner, Frangos, and Agwara. ECF No. 8. The court informed plaintiff he could 21 proceed as to those defendants only or file an amended complaint within 30 days to cure the 22 defects in his remaining claims, including the claims against defendants Villanueva and Ferruolo. 23 *Id.* The time for amending has passed and plaintiff has elected to proceed only with the claim 24 against defendants Buckner, Frangos, and Agwara. See ECF No. 11. 25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly 26 assign a United States District Judge to this action. 27 ///// 28 /////

Further, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendants Villanueva and Ferruolo be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: June 7, 2018.

en rund T

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE