1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICHARD STAYCHOCK, et al.,	No. 2:17-cv-1012 KJM CMK
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
14	KLEAN KANTEEN, INC.,	<u>ORDER</u>
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	KLEAN KANTEEN, INC.,	
18	Counterclaim Plaintiff,	
19	V.	
20	RICHARD STAYCHOCK, et al.,	
21	Counterclaim Defendants,	
22		
23		
24		
25	An initial scheduling conference was held in this case on September 14, 2017.	
2627	Mieke Malmberg appeared for plaintiffs; Evan Nadel appeared for defendant.	
28	////	
۷٥		1

Having reviewed the parties' Joint Status Report filed on September 7, 2017, and discussed a schedule for the case with counsel at the hearing, the court makes the following orders:

I. SERVICE OF PROCESS

All named defendants have been served and no further service is permitted without leave of court, good cause having been shown.

The parties have agreed to accept service of any additional filings or documents associated with the case by email to their counsel, provided that the serving party also sends courtesy copies by U.S. mail.

II. ADDITIONAL PARTIES/AMENDMENTS/PLEADINGS

Plaintiffs intend to amend their complaint. Any stipulation or motion to amend shall be filed with the court no later than **October 9, 2017**. Any further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is not permitted without leave of court, good cause having been shown. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b); *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992).

III. JURISDICTION/VENUE

Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Jurisdiction and venue are not disputed.

IV. DISCOVERY

Initial disclosures as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) have been completed. All discovery shall be completed by **April 26, 2018**. In this context, "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been obeyed. All motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the magistrate judge's calendar in accordance with the local rules of this court. While the assigned magistrate judge reviews proposed discovery phase protective orders, requests to seal or redact are decided by Judge Mueller as discussed in more detail below. In addition, while the assigned magistrate judge handles discovery motions, the magistrate judge cannot change the schedule set in this order, except that the magistrate judge may modify a discovery

1
2

cutoff to the extent such modification does not have the effect of requiring a change to the balance of the schedule.

The parties may have electronically stored information ("ESI") in a variety of formats, which will be produced to the opposing party in electronic images. The parties have agreed to accept service of any additional filings or documents associated with the case by email to their counsel, provided that the serving party also sends courtesy copies by U.S. mail.

The parties shall file a joint stipulation with the court within fourteen (14) days of the scheduling conference outlining their processes for producing ESI.

The parties believe certain confidential business and employment record may require certain privacy protections. The parties shall file a proposed joint discovery protective order within fourteen (14) days of the scheduling conference. The procedures for any "clawback" of privileged documents or challenge to a claim of privilege after "claw-back" will be set forth in the parties' proposed protective order.

V. <u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESSES</u>

All counsel are to designate in writing, file with the court, and serve upon all other parties the name, address, and area of expertise of each expert that they propose to tender at trial not later than **May 16, 2018**. The designation shall be accompanied by a written report prepared and signed by the witness. The report shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B). By **May 31, 2018**, any party who previously disclosed expert witnesses may submit a supplemental list of expert witnesses who will express an opinion on a subject covered by an expert designated by an adverse party, if the party supplementing an expert witness designation has not previously retained an expert to testify on that subject. The supplemental designation shall be accompanied by a written report, which shall also comply with the conditions stated above.

Failure of a party to comply with the disclosure schedule as set forth above in all likelihood will preclude that party from calling the expert witness at the time of trial. An expert witness not appearing on the designation will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity for the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the list was proffered; (b) that the court and opposing counsel were

promptly notified upon discovery of the witness; and (c) that the witness was promptly made available for deposition.

For purposes of this scheduling order, an "expert" is any person who may be used at trial to present evidence under Rules 702, 703 and 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, which include both "percipient experts" (persons who, because of their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work duties or observations pertinent to the issues in the case) and "retained experts" (persons specifically designated by a party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of litigation). A party shall identify whether a disclosed expert is percipient, retained, or both. It will be assumed that a party designating a retained expert has acquired the express permission of the witness to be so listed. Parties designating percipient experts must state in the designation who is responsible for arranging the deposition of such persons.

All experts designated are to be fully prepared at the time of designation to render an informed opinion, and give the bases for their opinion, so that they will be able to give full and complete testimony at any deposition taken by the opposing party. Experts will not be permitted to testify at trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, after deposition taken subsequent to designation. All expert discovery shall be completed by **June 28, 2018.**

VI. LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY

The court grants the parties' request to limit the number of party depositions to eight (8) per side, with each side reserving the right to request additional depositions for good cause shown.

VII. MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE

All dispositive motions, except motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications, shall be <u>heard no later than</u> **October 5, 2018.**¹ The parties may obtain available hearing dates by checking Judge Mueller's page on the court's website.

/////

¹ Note that this date may not correspond to a law and motion calendar date.

All purely legal issues are to be resolved by timely pretrial motions. Local Rule 230 governs the calendaring and procedures of civil motions; the following provisions also apply:

- (a) The opposition and reply must be filed by 4:00 p.m. on the day due; and
- (b) When the last day for filing an opposition brief falls on a legal holiday, the opposition brief shall be filed on the last court day immediately preceding the legal holiday. Failure to comply with Local Rule 230(c), as modified by this order, may be deemed consent to the motion and the court may dispose of the motion summarily. *Brydges v. Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651, 652-53 (9th Cir. 1994).

The court values the importance of training young attorneys. The parties are encouraged to consider assigning oral argument to a young attorney. If a written request for oral argument is filed before a hearing, stating an attorney of four or fewer years out of law school will argue the oral argument, then the court will ordinarily hold the hearing, although the court's schedule and calendar may require the hearing to be reset. Otherwise, the court may find it appropriate in some actions to submit a motion without oral argument.

The court places a page limit of twenty (20) pages on all moving papers, twenty (20) pages on oppositions, and ten (10) pages for replies. All requests for page limit increases must be made in writing at least fourteen (14) days prior to the filing of the motion.

Prior to filing a motion in a case in which the parties are represented by counsel, counsel shall engage in a pre-filing meet and confer to discuss thoroughly the substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution. Plaintiff's counsel should carefully evaluate the defendant's contentions as to deficiencies in the complaint and in many instances the party considering a motion should agree to any amendment that would cure a curable defect. Counsel should discuss the issues sufficiently so that if a motion of any kind is filed, including for summary judgment, the briefing is directed only to those substantive issues requiring resolution by the court. Counsel should resolve minor procedural or other non-substantive matters during the meet and confer. A notice of motion shall contain a certification by counsel filing the motion that meet and confer efforts have been exhausted, with a brief summary of meet and confer efforts.

The parties are cautioned that failure to raise a dispositive legal issue that could have been tendered to the court by proper pretrial motion prior to the dispositive motion cut-off date may constitute waiver of such issue.

VIII. SEALING

No document will be sealed, nor shall a redacted document be filed, without the prior approval of the court. If a document for which sealing or redaction is sought relates to the record on a motion to be decided by Judge Mueller, the request to seal or redact should be directed to her and not the assigned Magistrate Judge. All requests to seal or redact shall be governed by Local Rules 141 (sealing) and 140 (redaction); protective orders covering the discovery phase of litigation shall not govern the filing of sealed or redacted documents on the public docket. The court will only consider requests to seal or redact filed by the proponent of sealing or redaction. If a party plans to make a filing that includes material an opposing party has identified as confidential and potentially subject to sealing, the filing party shall provide the opposing party with sufficient notice in advance of filing to allow for the seeking of an order of sealing or redaction from the court.

IX. MARKMAN HEARING

The following schedule is set for the convening of a *Markman* hearing:

- 1. Defendant's opening brief shall be filed no later than January 26, 2018
- 2. Plaintiff's Opposition Brief shall be filed no later than February 9, 2018.
- 3. Defendant's Reply Brief shall be file no later than February 16, 2018.
- 4. Hearing set for February 22, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 3. The hearing is anticipated to take a half day.

X. SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

The parties have expressed interest in a settlement conference and are amenable to a settlement conference convened as soon as possible by a member of the court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Panel (VDRP). Accordingly, this matter is referred to the court's ADR Coordinator, Sujean Park, for the convening of a VDRP session. A principal with full settlement authority for each party shall appear at the VDRP session.

In the event no VDRP panelist is available during the time frame set forth above, the case will be referred to another judge of this court for settlement.

The parties are reminded to promptly notify the court if they settle this case prior to the scheduled VDRP conference date.

XI. FURTHER SCHEDULING

The court will set a Final Pretrial Conference date after the resolution of any dispositive motions, or passage of the dispositive motion cutoff, with a trial date being determined at the pretrial conference. The parties should be prepared to confirm a trial date within 60 to 120 days from the date of the final pretrial conference, and should be available for trial accordingly.

XII. MODIFICATION OF STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order shall not be modified except by leave of court upon a showing of good cause. Agreement of the parties by stipulation alone does not constitute good cause. Except in extraordinary circumstances, unavailability of witnesses or counsel does not constitute good cause.

As noted, the assigned magistrate judge is authorized to modify only the discovery dates shown above to the extent any such modification does not impact the balance of the schedule of the case.

XIII. OBJECTIONS TO STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER

This Status Order will become final without further order of the court unless objections are filed within fourteen (14) *calendar* days of service of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 21, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE