1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE W. SHUFELT,	No. 2:17-cv-1014 WBS CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	RAFAEL MIRANDA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On April 3, 2019, the court ordered plaintiff to inform the court within 30 days whether he	
18	will amend his pleadings or proceed on his first amended complaint. Plaintiff was warned that	
19	failure to respond to the order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed	
20	pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has not responded to the	
21	order. Although it appears from the docket that plaintiff's copy of the April 3, 2019 order was	
22	returned to the court as plaintiff has been paroled, plaintiff was properly served. It is the	
23	plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times; plaintiff has	
24	failed to do so. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the	
25	party is fully effective.	
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
27	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
28		
		1

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: May 17, 2019

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

shuf1014.frs