1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	WILLIAM HENRY PRICE,	No. 2:17-CV-1031-KJM-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro	o se, brings this civil action. Pending before the
18	court is plaintiff's first amended complaint (D	oc. 6).
19	The court is required to screen	complaints brought by litigants who have been
20	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. S	ee 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Under these screening
21	provisions, the court must dismiss a complain	t or portion thereof if it: (1) is frivolous or
22	malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which	h relief can be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief
23	from a defendant who is immune from such re	elief. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(A), (B) and
24	1915A(b)(1), (2). Moreover, pursuant to Fede	eral Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), this court
25	must dismiss an action if the court determines	that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because
26	plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed in	forma pauperis, the court will screen the complaint
27	pursuant to § 1915(e)(2). Pursuant to Rule 12	(h), the court will also consider as a threshold
28	matter whether it has subject-matter jurisdiction	on.
		1

1	Plaintiff names the following as defendants: (1) Child Protective Services; (2) K.		
2	Harris; (3) Sharon A. Stone; and (4) the Oroville Police Department. Plaintiff claims defendants		
3	violated his constitutional rights with respect to removal of a minor child. The court concludes		
4	that it has subject matter jurisdiction and that the complaint is appropriate for service by the		
5	United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs. If plaintiff desires service of process by the		
6	United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs, plaintiff must comply with the requirements		
7	outlined below. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order, or otherwise effect		
8	service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, may result in dismissal of the action for		
9	lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.		
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
11	1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to add Sharon A. Stone and the Oroville		
12	Police Department as defendants to this action;		
13	2. The Clerk of the Court shall issue a summons in a civil case, the		
14	undersigned's new case documents, and an order setting this matter for an initial scheduling		
15	conference;		
16	3. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff the summons, four USM-285		
17	forms, and a copy of the complaint;		
18	4. Within 15 days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the		
19	summons by indicating the addresses of the named defendants and shall submit to the United		
20	States Marshal at the address indicated below the following documents:		
21	a. The completed summons;		
22	b. One completed USM-285 form for each named defendant;c. Five copies of the complaint; and		
23	 d. Four copies of the court's initial scheduling conference order issued herewith; 		
24	nerewith,		
25	5. Within 20 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a notice		
26	indicating that the documents described above have been submitted to the United States Marshal,		
27	or a notice that plaintiff intends to serve the summons and complaint without assistance from the		
28	United States Marshal;		
	2		

1	6. If plaintiff seeks the assistance of the United States Marshal, the United
2	States Marshal is directed to serve all process without pre-payment of costs not later than 60 days
3	from the date of this order, such service of process to be completed by serving a copy of the
4	summons, complaint, and initial scheduling conference order on the defendants at the addresses
5	provided by plaintiff; and
6	7. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the
7	United States Marshal at 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.
8	
9	
10	Dated: October 24, 2018
11	DENNIS M. COTA
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3