Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.

Plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(b)(1). By separate order, the court will direct the appropriate agency to collect twenty percent of the preceding month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account and forward it to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in plaintiff's account exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The

court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

Plaintiff identifies three claims in his complaint. As for claims 2 and 3, plaintiff states claims upon which he may proceed under the Eighth Amendment against defendant Giddings. Claim1 is not a claim upon which plaintiff may proceed as, at most, the claim amounts to negligence in violation of California law. Plaintiff may only proceed on a claim arising under California law if he pleads compliance with California's Government Claims Act which plaintiff has not done. California v. Sup. Court of Kings County, 32 Cal.4th 1234, 1241-42 (2004).

Plaintiff identifies J. Clark Kelso, Federal Receiver, and M. Spearman, Warden at High Desert State Prison as defendants, but fails to make any allegations against them. Accordingly, the court will recommend that they be dismissed.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 3) is granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. Plaintiff is assessed an initial partial filing fee in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
- § 1915(b)(1). All fees shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation filed concurrently herewith.
- 3. Service is appropriate for defendant Giddings relative to claims 2 and 3 in plaintiff's complaint.
- 4. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff one USM-285 form, one summons, an instruction sheet and a copy of the complaint.

/////

/////

26

27

28

Plaintiff asserts with respect to claim 1 that he "filed a Government Claim Form with California that was not answered." This is not sufficient as a plaintiff must at least allege facts demonstrating he is excused from complying with the Government Claims Act, not simply allege that he made a single attempt to comply. California v. Sup. Court of Kings County, 32 Cal.4th at 1241-42.

1	5. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the attached		
2	Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the following documents to the court:		
3	a. The completed Notice of Submission of Documents;		
4	b. One completed summons;		
5	c. One completed USM-285 form; and		
6	d. Two copies of the complaint.		
7	6. Plaintiff need not attempt service on defendant Giddings and need not request waiver		
8	of service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the United States		
9	Marshal to serve defendant Giddings pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without		
10	payment of costs.		
11	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Claim 1 and all defendants other than defendant		
12	Giddings be dismissed.		
13	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
14	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days aft		
15	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with		
16	the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and		
17	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time		
18	waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.		
19	1991).		
20	Dated: October 18, 2017 Caroh U. Delany		
21	CAROLYN K. DELANEY		
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
23			
24			
25	1 frank1056.1		
26			
27			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	TOM MARK FRANKS,	No. 2:17-cv-1056 GEB CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION	
14	J. CLARK KELSO, et al.,	OF DOCUMENTS	
15	Defendants.		
16		J	
17	Plaintiff submits the following documents in compliance with the court's order filed		
18	:		
19	completed summons form		
20	completed USM-285 forms		
21	copies of the Complaint		
22	Complaint		
23	DATED:		
24			
25	Plaintiff		
26			
27			
28		1	
		1	