government experienced brief shutdowns, and Defendant's counsel had been diligently

27

28

addressing her full workload including other district court cases and an employment case with a

Doc. 25

1	voluminous record involving briefing. Moreover, since the last extension of time, the parties	
2	engaged in settlement negotiations. However, the parties did not reach an agreement and have	
3	decided to continue to pursue litigation of this case.	
4	Therefore, Defendant is respectfully requesting additional time up to and including	
5	March 7, 2018, to provide a response to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment in this case.	
6	The parties further stipulate that Plaintiff will have 35 days until May 2, 2018 to provide his	
7	reply to Defendant's response since Plaintiff's counsel will be out of the country from February	
8	to April.	
9	This request is made in good faith with no intention to unduly delay the proceedings.	
10		
11	Respectfully submitted,	
12	Date: February 16, 2018 BARBARA M. RIZZO, ATTORNEY AT LAW	
13	s/ Barbara M. Rizzo by C.Chen*	
14	(As authorized by email on 2/16/2018)	
15	BARBARA M. RIZZO Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16		
17	Date: February 16, 2018 MCGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney	
18		
19	By <u>s/ Carolyn B. Chen</u> CAROLYN B. CHEN	
20	Special Assistant U. S. Attorney	
21	Attorneys for Defendant	
22		
23	ORDER	
24		
25	APPROVED AND SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: February 21, 2018 HON. EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28		