In his reply, Plaintiff argues that the subsequent grant of benefits is a basis of remand for

28

Doc. 29

1	immediate payment of benefits (see, e.g., Doc. No. 27 at 1-4 at Section (II)(A)). Defendant	
2	requests the opportunity to respond to Plaintiff's reply on the issue presented at Section II(A),	
3	since the issue had not yet been presented to the Court or argued in Plaintiff's pleadings at any	
4	point before Defendant filed her opposition and cross-motion for summary judgment (see Doc.	
5	Nos. 15, 26).	
6	Due to Defendant's counsel heavy workload this month, Defendant is respectfully	
7	requesting 28 days to respond to Plaintiff's reply on the issue at Section II (A) of Doc. No. 27, to	
8	and including Wednesday, May 30, 2018.	
9	On May 9, 2018, and prior occasions, Defendant's counsel contacted Plaintiff to notify	
10	him of Defendant's intention to request leave from the Court to respond to the substance of	
11	Plaintiff's reply argument presented in Section II(A) of Doc. No. 27. On those occasions and	
12	May 9, 2018, Plaintiff indicated that he had no objection to the requests in this motion	
13		
14	Dated: May 9, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
15		MCGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney
16		DEBORAH LEE STACHEL
17		Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration
18		•
19	By:	<u>/s/ Carolyn B. Chen</u> CAROLYN B. CHEN
20		Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
21		Attorneys for Defendant
22		
23		ORDER
24	APPROVED AND SO ORDERED.	
25		alm ATD.
26	DATED: May 9, 2018.	HON. EDMUND F. BRENNAN
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		