

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDGAR JOSE CANSECO,
Plaintiff,
v.
M.E. SPEARMAN, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1133 DB P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order issued January 9, 2018, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. (See ECF No. 7). Thirty days from that date have now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
3 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: April 5, 2018

5
6 
7 DEBORAH BARNES
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DLB:13
10 DB/ORDERS/ORDERS.PRISONER.CIVIL RIGHTS/cans1133.fta

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28