28

1	v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994) (judicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid
2	basis for a recusal motion based on bias or impartiality); United States v. Johnson, 610 F.3d 1138,
3	1147 (9th Cir. 2010) (same); see also United States v. Sibla, 624 F.2d 864, 868 (9th Cir. 1980)
4	(affidavit filed pursuant to § 144 is not legally sufficient where it contains only conclusions and is
5	devoid of specific fact allegations tending to show personal bias stemming from an extrajudicial
6	source).
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's July 5, 2017 letter to the Clerk
8	(ECF No. 7), construed as a motion for recusal, is denied.
9	Dated: July 26, 2017.
10	Elmund F. Bilma
11	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	