

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

----oo0oo----

ROBERT MANN, SR.; VERN MURPHY-
MANN; DEBORAH MANN; ZACHARY
MANN; and WILLIAM MANN,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO
POLICE DEPARTMENT; SAMUEL D.
SOMERS, JR.; JOHN C. TENNIS; and
RANDY R. LOZOYA,

 Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1201 WBS DB

ORDER

----oo0oo----

Before the court is plaintiffs' Bill of Costs, which seeks plaintiffs' appellate filing fee, fees for the trial transcript necessarily used on appeal, and "Costs as shown on the Mandate of Court of Appeals." (Docket No. 81).

On appeal, the Ninth Circuit panel awarded costs to plaintiff in the amount of \$81.90.¹ Federal Rule of Appellate

¹ The court's examination of the Ninth Circuit docket

1 Procedure 39(e) provides that costs for the reporter's transcript
2 and the fee for filling the appeal may be taxed in the district
3 court. After reviewing the bill, and in light of the fact that
4 defendants have not objected, the court finds the requested
5 appeal costs are reasonable. Accordingly, costs of \$526.60 are
6 taxed against defendants.²

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: June 30, 2020



9 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

indicates that on appeal, plaintiffs were awarded \$81.90 in costs for preparing excerpts of records and briefs.

² Because the Ninth Circuit already taxed costs in the amount of \$81.90 against defendants, this court need not tax those costs once again.