1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROY GARCIA,	No. 2:17-cv-01202-TLN-EFB	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REMAND	
14	KRATOS DEFENSE SOLUTIONS, et al.,	MOTION FOR REMAIND	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	This matter is before the Court pursuant to Plaintiff Roy Garcia's ("Plaintiff") Motion to		
18	Remand to State Court. (ECF No. 4.) Defendant Kratos Defense Solutions ("Defendant")		
19	opposes. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff has not replied. The Court has carefully considered the		
20	arguments raised by the parties' briefing. For the following reasons, Plaintiff's Motion for		
21	Remand to State Court (ECF No. 4) is DENIED.		
22	The district court has original jurisdiction over civil actions between citizens of different		
23	states in which the alleged damages exceed \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). The party asserting		
24	federal jurisdiction bears the burden of proving diversity. Lew v. Moss, 797 F.2d 747, 749 (9th		
25	Cir. 1986) (citing Resnik v. La Paz Guest Ranch, 289 F.2d 814, 819 (9th Cir. 1961)).		
26	The parties agree they are diverse from one another - Plaintiff is a citizen of Colorado and		
27	Defendant is a citizen of Delaware and California - and the amount in controversy exceeds		
28	\$75,000. (ECF No. 4 at 2; ECF No. 6 at 3.) Plaintiff moves for remand, arguing this Court "does		
		1	

1	not have jurisdiction over claims based on state specific laws and associated claims from the same	
2	wrong or action," because one of Plaintiff's claims is based on alleged violations of California	
3	Government Code §12940(a). (ECF No. 4 at 2–3.) Plaintiff appears to conflate federal question	
4	jurisdiction with diversity jurisdiction. Defendant removed this matter on the basis of diversity	
5	jurisdiction (ECF No. 1 at 1), and the parties agree that all requirements for diversity jurisdiction	
6	are met. (ECF No. 4 at 2; ECF No. 6 at 3.) Under this circumstance, removal is proper.	
7	Accordingly, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (ECF No. 4).	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	Dated: September 5, 2017	
11	7 Thomas and	
12	My- tour	
13	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	