1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:17-cv-1213-EFB P LISA BELYEW, 12 Plaintiff. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 13 v. 14 DUCH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed May 10, 2019, the court found that service of the amended 19 complaint is appropriate for defendants Moreland, Callus, Smith, Trott, Webber, Duch, and 20 Jones. ECF No. 14. The court informed plaintiff she could proceed against defendants 21 Moreland, Callus, Smith, Trott, Webber, Duch, and Jones only or file a second amended 22 complaint within 30 days that also states a claim against defendants Soderberg, Ramirez, 23 Dawson, Young, Proctor, and Meyer. *Id.* The time for amending has passed and plaintiff has 24 elected to proceed only with the claim against defendants Moreland, Callus, Smith, Trott, 25 Webber, Duch, and Jones. See ECF No. 15. 26 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United 27 States District Judge to this case. 28 /////

Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendants Soderberg, Ramirez, Dawson, Young, Proctor, and Meyer be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v*. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: June 11, 2019.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE