

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOODY WOODROW TANKSLEY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SHERIFF CAMPA, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-01271 CKD P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a Sacramento County inmate proceeding without counsel in this action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 3, 2107, this court ordered plaintiff to file a completed in forma pauperis application or pay the filing fee within thirty days and plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign this case to a district court judge.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
4 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
5 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
6 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 Dated: January 31, 2018

8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15 12/tank1271.fifp.docx  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28