1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEE LAWSON,	No. 2:17-cv-01276-TLN-GGH
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	TEHAMA COUNTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants,	
16		
17	Plaintiff appears in this civil rights matter pro se. The matter was referred to a United	
18	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On January 29, 2018, following a hearing, the magistrate judge filed findings and	
20	recommendations herein, which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the	
21	parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-	
22	one days. (ECF No. 33.) Defendants have filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	(ECF No. 35.)	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	///	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. Plaintiff's First and Fifth Amendment claims are dismissed without leave to	
3	amend;	
4	2. Plaintiff's procedural Due Process claim is dismissed without leave to amend if,	
5	indeed, one has been alleged at all;	
6	3. Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment claim is dismissed with leave to amend, in	
7	conformity with the discussion in the findings and recommendations, within 20 days of the date	
8	this Order is filed; and <sup>1</sup>	
9	4. With respect to Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection and	
10	substantive Due Process claims, Defendants' motion to dismiss is DENIED.	
11		
12	Dated: March 15, 2018	
13		
14	www. Hunley	
15	Troy L. Nunley	
16	United States District Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint before Defendants filed their objections. (ECF No. 34.)	
	2	