

## I. Subpoena Duces Tecum

On February 27, 2018, the U.S. Marshal personally served a subpoena duces tecum upon each Custodian of Records. ECF Nos. 44 & 45. The subpoena directed each Custodian of Records to produce certain documents that may assist plaintiff in identifying the unnamed employees of the U.S. Marshals Service who plaintiff intends to name as defendants. *See* ECF No. 42. The subpoena required that the requested documents be produced by March 28, 2018. *Id.* The time for responding has passed and no objections have been filed. According to plaintiff, the subpoena has been ignored. ECF No. 46. Accordingly, each Custodian of Records is directed to respond to plaintiff's motion to produce documents. Alternatively, they may produce the requested documents and provide notice to the court of the same. Should a Custodian of Records fail to comply with this order, he or she will be directed to personally appear before this Court and show cause why sanctions should not be imposed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(g).

## **II.** Request for Extension of Time

Plaintiff requests an extension of time to file an amended complaint pending resolution of her motion to produce documents. ECF No. 47. Plaintiff's request is granted to the extent that she will have another 90 days from the date this order is filed to file her amended complaint.

## **III.** Request for Appointment of Counsel

Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. *Mallard v. United States Dist.*Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate her claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this case.

/////

## IV. Order Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order and plaintiff's motion to produce documents (ECF No. 46) on (a) the Custodian of Records for the Eastern District of California, U.S. Marshals Service, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, 95814, and (b) the Custodian of Records for the Sheriff of Sacramento County, 651 I Street, Sacramento, California, 95814. 2. Within fourteen days of the date of this order, each Custodian of Records shall respond to plaintiff's motion to produce documents (ECF No. 46). Alternatively, they may produce the requested documents and provide notice to the court of the same. 3. Plaintiff's request for an extension of time (ECF No. 47) is granted to the extent that she will have 90 days from the date of this order to file her amended complaint. 4. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 48) is denied without prejudice. DATED: May 14, 2018. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE