1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 VILAYCHITH KHOUANMANY, No. 2:17-cv-1326-TLN-EFB P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 UNITED STATES MARSHALS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with claims premised under Bivens v. Six 17 18 Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). She requests that the court appoint counsel. As 19 has previously been explained, see ECF Nos 31, 42, 29, district courts lack authority to require 20 counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. 21 Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney 22 to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 23 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). 24 When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the 25 likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro 26 se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 27 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors again, the court still finds there are no 28 exceptional circumstances in this case. 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 57) is denied.
3	DATED: August 20, 2018.
4	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	