| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | VILAYCHITH KHOUANMANY, | No. 2:17-cv-1326-TLN-EFB P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | UNITED STATES MARSHALS, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with claims arising under Bivens v. Six | |
| 18 | Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). She requests appointment of counsel as well as a | |
| 19 | 120-day extension of time to file objections to the court's October 19, 2018 findings and | |
| 20 | recommendations. See ECF Nos. 64, 65, 66. | |
| 21 | District courts may authorize the appointment of counsel to represent an indigent civil | |
| 22 | litigant in certain exceptional circumstances. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 | |
| 23 | F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335–36 (9th Cir.1990); | |
| 24 | Richards v. Harper, 864 F.2d 85, 87 (9th Cir. 1988). In considering whether exceptional | |
| 25 | circumstances exist, the court must evaluate (1) the plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits; | |
| 26 | and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate her claims pro se in light of the complexity of the | |
| 27 | legal issues involved. Terrell, 935 F.2d at 1017. The court cannot conclude that plaintiff's | |
| 28 | likelihood of success, the complexity of the is | sues, or the degree of plaintiff's ability to articulate |
| | | |

| 1 | her claims amount to exceptional circumstances justifying the appointment of counsel at this | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | time. The court will however, grant plaintiff's request for an extension of time. | |
| 3 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of | |
| 4 | counsel (ECF No. 66) is denied and her request for a 120-day extension of time to file objections | |
| 5 | to the October 19, 2018 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 65) is granted. Any objections | |
| 6 | to the findings and recommendations shall be filed no later than 120 days from the date of this | |
| 7 | order. | |
| 8 | DATED: November 26, 2018. | |
| 9 | EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | | |