

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD LEE CANADA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1329 CKD P

ORDER AND  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On March 13, 2018, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and

1 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time  
2 waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.  
3 1991).

4 Dated: April 24, 2018



---

CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5  
6  
7  
8  
9 1  
10 cana1329.fta

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28