1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MICHAEL L. OVERTON,	No. 2:17-cv-1335 AC P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	CMF WARDEN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	By order filed October 11, 2017, this action was dismissed without prejudice after plaintiff		
18	ignored two court orders to submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$400.00		
19	filing fee. ECF No. 10. The second order that issued warned plaintiff that failure to comply		
20	would result in the case being dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 8. Now, two weeks		
21	after this case was dismissed, and over three months after he was first ordered to submit an		
22	application to proceed in forma pauperis, plaintiff has submitted the required application. ECF		
23	No. 12. The application is unaccompanied by any explanation as to why plaintiff failed to submit		
24	it in a timely manner and this court finds no reason to re-open this case.		
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
26	1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 12) is denied as moot.		
27	////		
28	////		
		1	

1	2. This case shall remain closed.	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	DATED: October 30, 2017	
4		ALLISON CLAIRE
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		