1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEON HARDIN,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-00851-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
13	v.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	D. BAUGHMAN, et al.,)
15	Defendants.))
16		<u>)</u>
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C	
18	§ 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
19	In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by Defendants. The alleged	
20	violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
21	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been	
22	filed in the Sacramento Division.	
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
24	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be	
25	transferred to the Sacramento Division. This C	Court will not rule on Plaintiff's request to proceed in
26	forma pauperis.	
27	///	
28	///	
	I .	

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed	
5	at:	
6	United States District Court	
7	Secremento CA 05814	
8		
9	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10		
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: June 28, 2017	
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		