1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAMES L. BUTLER,	No. 2:17-cv-01343 TLN CKD (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	RALPH MULLICAN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On July 7, 2017, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause within fourteen days why this		
18	case should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. That period has expired, and		
19	plaintiff has not shown a basis for federal jurisdiction in this matter.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the above-entitled action be		
21	dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.		
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written		
25	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned		
26	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections		
27	////		
28	////		

1	shall be served and filed within seven days after service of the objections. The parties are		
2	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the		
3	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
4	Dated: August 4, 2017 Caroh U. Delany		
5	CAROLYN K. DELANEY		
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	2 butler1343.nojuris_jo		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			