Dac. 34

17 18

20 21

22

19

23 24

25 26

27

28

The parties Sacramento Suburban Water District, Elementis Chromium Incorporated, Occidental Chemical Corporation, Honeywell International Inc., BASF Corporation, PPG Incorporated, E.I. Du Pont De Nemours and Company, Univar Inc., Univar USA Inc., Luxfer Holdings PLC, Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, and The Dow Chemical Company, through their undersigned counsel hereby stipulate and agree to the following:

- The Defendants named above were served on different dates. As a result, Defendants' responsive pleadings are due on different dates.
- Honeywell Incorporated was improperly named as a defendant, and thus service was not initially effectuated. By this stipulation, Plaintiff agrees that the current Complaints should be deemed, through interlineation, to substitute Honeywell International Inc. for Honeywell Incorporated. Plaintiff also will name Honeywell International Inc. (rather than Honeywell Incorporated) in any later amendment to the Complaints. Making no admissions and reserving all appropriate legal and factual defenses, counsel for Honeywell International Inc. agrees to respond to the pending Complaints as if Honeywell International Inc. had been properly served, and it will be bound by the deadlines and provisions set forth in this Stipulation.
- Univar Inc., erroneously named in the Complaint as Univar Incorporated, filed an Answer to the Complaint on August 17, 2017. By this stipulation, Plaintiff agrees the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed as against Univar Inc. only, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff shall file a stipulated dismissal pursuant thereto. Plaintiff reserves all of its rights as against all other defendants. The parties further agree that the current Complaints should be deemed, through interlineation, to substitute Univar USA Inc. for Univar Incorporated. Plaintiff also will name Univar USA Inc. (rather than Univar Inc.) in any later

amendment to the Complaints. Making no admissions and reserving all appropriate legal and factual defenses, counsel for Univar USA Inc. agrees to respond to the pending Complaints as if Univar USA Inc. had been properly served, and it will be bound by the deadlines and provisions set forth in this Stipulation.

- Defendants have agreed to coordinate their responsive pleadings and have met and conferred with Plaintiff and agreed to the following schedule:
 - All Defendants named in this stipulation will file responsive pleadings by September 25, 2017;
 - o Plaintiff will respond to any pleading motions by October 25, 2017; and
 - o Defendants will file reply briefs by November 8, 2017;
 - The parties propose a hearing date of November 17, 2017, or the soonest the
 Court can hear the matter following the filing of the reply briefs.
- The parties request that a Case Management Conference be set 60 days after oral argument on Defendants' pleading motions or entry of a minute order indicating that oral argument will not be necessary.
- The Defendants listed above have been named in a related action brought by Rio Linda Elverta Community Water District (Case No. 2:17-cv-01349 KJM GGH), which is also pending in this Court. (See Related Case Order.) The parties met and conferred and agreed that these matters should be coordinated for pretrial purposes, with a single case management schedule to be proposed before the first Case Management Conference. The parties also request leave of Court to deem pleadings and discovery filed or served in one case as filed or served in both cases.

So stipulated.

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 131(e), all undersigned counsel have authorized BASF		
2	Corporation's counsel, Amber M. Trincado, to sign and submit this Stipulation on their behalf.		
3	Dated: September 14, 2017	KING & SPALDING LLP	
4	Suited: Septemoer 1., 2017	KING & SI ALDING LLI	
5			
6		By: /s/Amber M. Trincado Amber M. Trincado	
7			
8		Attorneys for Defendant BASF CORPORATION	
9	Dated: September 14, 2017	SHER EDLING LLP	
10			
11			
12 13		By: /s/Matthew K. Edling As authorized on September 13, 2017 Matthew K. Edling	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15		SACRAMENTO SUBURBAN WATER DISTRICT	
16	Dated: September 14, 2017	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
17			
18			
19		By: /s/Greg A. Christianson As authorized on September 14, 2017	
20		Greg A. Christianson	
21		Attorneys for Defendant ELEMENTIS CHROMIUM INCORPORATED	
22		EDEINE (TIS CHICOMICIA INCOMICIATE)	
23			
24			
2526			
27			
28			
20		4	

1	Dated: September 14, 2017	BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP	
2			
3			
4		By: /s/John F. Barg As authorized on September 13, 2017	
5		John F. Barg	
6		Attorneys for Defendant	
7		OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL CORPORATION	
8	Dated: September 14, 2017	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	
9			
10		By: /s/Stephanie B. Weirick	
11		As authorized on September 13, 2017 Stephanie B. Weirick	
12		Attorneys for Defendant	
13		HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.	
14	Dated: September 14, 2017	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C.	
15			
16			
17		By: /s/Gary J. Smith As authorized on September 13, 2017	
18		Gary J. Smith	
19		Attorneys for Defendant	
20		PPG INDUSTRIES, INC., sued as PPG INCORPORATED	
21	Dated: September 14, 2017	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP	
22			
23			
24		By: /s/Andrew T. Mortl As authorized on September 13, 2017	
25		Andrew T. Mortl	
26		Attorneys for Defendant E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND	
27		COMPANY	
28	5		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT		

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT (Case No. 2:17-cv-01353 KJM GGH)

1	Dated: September 14, 2017	ARCHER & GREINER P.C.
2		
3		
4		By: /s/Carlos M. Bollar As authorized on September 13, 2017
5		Carlos M. Bollar
6		Attorneys for Defendant LUXFER HOLDINGS PLC
7	Datade Contombou 14, 2017	
8	Dated: September 14, 2017	ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES, PC
9		
10		By: /s/Alisyn J. Palla
11		As authorized on September 13, 2017 Alisyn J. Palla
12		Attorneys for Defendant
13		UNIVAR USA INC. and UNIVAR INC.
14	Dated: September 14, 2017	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
15		
16		By: /s/Jay E. Smith
17		As authorized on September 13, 2017
18		Jay E. Smith
19		Attorneys for Defendant SIGMA-ALDRICH CORPORATION
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIDI II ATION AND ODDED DE SCI	6 HEDLILING AND CASE MANAGEMENT

1	Dated: September 14, 2017	MITCHELL CHADWICK LLP
2		
3		By: /s/Clifton J. McFarland
4		As authorized on September 13, 2017 Clifton J. McFarland
5		
6		Attorneys for Defendant THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	DATED: September 19, 2017.	Mental &
11	5717125. September 13, 2017.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		7