(HC) Perez v. Arnold

Doc. 20

11 ALEX PEREZ,

Petitioner,

Respondent.

v.

14 ERIC ARNOLD,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 2:17-CV-01379-JAM-CKD

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 13, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 13, 2019, are adopted in full;
3	2. The petition is denied;
4	3. The Clerk of Court shall close this action; and
5	4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. §
6	2253.
7	
8	DATED: November 14, 2019
9	/s/ John A. Mendez
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	