1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   CHRISTOPHER LIPSEY, JR.,   No. 2:17-cv-1429 TLN AC P

12   Plaintiff,

13   v.   ORDER

14   M. KALIL, et al.,

15   Defendants.

16

17   Defendants have filed a motion to stay this case and vacate deadlines in light of the

18   settlement of plaintiff's claims in <u>Coleman v. Newsom</u>, No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB (E.D. Cal.),

19   which included a global settlement of all of plaintiff's active cases. ECF No. 73. Because the

20   settlement in <u>Coleman</u> is subject to approval by the district judge, defendants request that this

21   action be stayed and all deadlines vacated pending approval of the settlement agreement. <u>Id.</u> at 2.

22   The only matters currently pending in this case are defendants' motion for summary

23   judgment and plaintiff's motion for reconsideration. Defendants' reply in support of their motion

24   for summary judgment is currently due March 30, 2022, ECF No. 72, and a response to plaintiff's

25   motion for reconsideration is required "only as directed by the court," ECF No. 45 at 6. The court

26   will therefore grant the motion and stay briefing on the motion for summary judgment pending

27   approval of the settlement agreement.

28   ////

                                                   1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants' motion to stay (ECF No. 73) is GRANTED and briefing on the motion for summary judgment is STAYED pending approval of the settlement agreement in <u>Coleman v. Newsom</u>, No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB (E.D. Cal.).

2. In the event the settlement agreement is not approved, within one day of the order disapproving the settlement agreement defendants shall file a notice advising the court that the settlement agreement was not approved. Defendants may file a reply in support of their motion for summary judgment within seven days of that notice.

DATED: March 25, 2022

_____
ALLISON CLAIRE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE