1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANNIE JAMES,	No. 2:17-cv-1433 MCE CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	J. PRICE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		J
17	On January 28, 2019, the court directed plaintiff to file a response to defendant Austin's	
18	motion to compel within 14 days and warned plaintiff that failure to do so would result in a	
19	recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
20	Procedure 41(b). Plaintiff has not responded to the court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
22	prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
26	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
27	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
28	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
		1

1	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
2	appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: February 26, 2019 Carop U. Delany	
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8	1 jame1433.dis	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 10		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
5	2	