1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALEXANDER DESEAN SIMMONS,	No. 2:17-cv-1445 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	SPEARMAN, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On November 6, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an	
18	amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not	
19	filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district	
21	court judge to this case; and	
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u>	
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
28	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
2	(9th Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: December 26, 2017  Carop U. Delany	
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8	1	
9	samm1445.fta	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		