1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GERELL LEE WHATLEY,	No. 2:17-cv-1487-MCE-EFB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	DEBBIE ASCUNCION, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus.	
18	See 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On September 25, 2017, respondent filed a motion to dismiss on the	
19	grounds that the petition is barred by the statute of limitations. ECF No. 14. Petitioner has not	
20	filed an opposition or a statement of no opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss.	
21	A responding party's failure "to file written opposition or to file a statement of no	
22	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may	
23	result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the	
24	Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or	
25	Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may dismiss this action	
26	with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See	
27	Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in	
28	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended	
		1

complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,	
1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule	
regarding notice of change of address affirmed).	
Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,	
petitioner shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.	
Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed	
without prejudice.	
Dated: October 26, 2017.	
EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
2	