I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL DRAKEFORD,	No. 2:17-cv-1571 KJM DB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	J. LIZARAGA,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as	
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On February 28, 2018, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Respondent has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court	
26	finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
27	/////	
28	/////	1
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 28, 2018, are adopted in full; and	
3	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 9) is denied.	
4	DATED: April 5, 2018.	
5	100 a a a	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	