1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WILLIE D. RANDLE, No. 2:17-cv-1583 KJM AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 K. PORTER, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On March 13, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings 22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 44. Despite being given 23 two additional thirty-day extensions of time (see ECF Nos. 46, 48), plaintiff has not timely filed 24 objections to the findings and recommendations. 25 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 26 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 27 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 28 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 1

...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued March 13, 2020 (ECF No. 44) are
  - 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 35) is GRANTED; and
  - 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.