

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

XAVIER SPIVEY,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
DAVID BAUGHAM,  
Respondent.

1:17-cv-001010-MJS (HC)  
  
ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern

1 District of California sitting in Sacramento; and

2 2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned  
3 and shall be filed at:

4 United States District Court  
5 Eastern District of California  
6 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200  
7 Sacramento, CA 95814

8 3. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: August 2, 2017

11 /s/ Michael J. Seng  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28