1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	MICHAEL HINES, No. 2:17-CV-1634-TLN-CMK
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	VA MATHER MEDICAL CENTER,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the
18	court is plaintiff's complaint (Doc. 1).
19	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief
20	against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C.
21	§ 1915A(a). The court is also required to screen complaints brought by litigants who have been
22	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Under these screening
23	provisions, the court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if it: (1) is frivolous or
24	malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief
25	from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(A), (B) and
26	1915A(b)(1), (2). Moreover, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), this court

1

must dismiss an action if the court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because
plaintiff, who is not a prisoner, has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the court
will screen the complaint pursuant to § 1915(e)(2). Pursuant to Rule 12(h), the court will also
consider as a threshold matter whether it has subject-matter jurisdiction.

5 In this case, plaintiff claims medical malpractice by doctors at defendant hospital. The court concludes that it has subject matter jurisdiction and that the complaint is appropriate 6 7 for service by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs. If plaintiff desires service of process by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs, plaintiff must comply 8 9 with the requirements outlined below. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order, 10 or otherwise effect service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, may result in dismissal 11 of the action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. 12

13

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The Clerk of the Court shall issue a summons in a civil case, the
 undersigned's new case documents, and an order setting this matter for an initial scheduling
 conference;

17 2. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff the summons, one USM-285
18 form, and a copy of the complaint;

3. Within 15 days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the
summons by indicating the addresses of the named defendants and shall submit to the United
States Marshal at the address indicated below the following documents:

The completed summons;

a.

b.

23

22

24

25

c. Two copies of the complaint; and

One completed USM-285 form for each named defendant;

d. One copy of the court's initial scheduling conference order issued

26 herewith;

1	4. Within 20 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a notice
2	indicating that the documents described above have been submitted to the United States Marshal,
3	or a notice that plaintiff intends to serve the summons and complaint without assistance from the
4	United States Marshal;
5	5. If plaintiff seeks the assistance of the United States Marshal, the United
6	States Marshal is directed to serve all process without pre-payment of costs not later than 60 days
7	from the date of this order, such service of process to be completed by serving a copy of the
8	summons, complaint, and initial scheduling conference order on the defendants at the addresses
9	provided by plaintiff; and
10	6. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the
11	United States Marshal at 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.
12	
13	DATED: August 7, 2018
14	- raig m. Kellison
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	3

I