1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849) Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805) LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN 1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 443-6911 Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com paul@markmerin.com Yolanda Huang (State Bar No. 104543) LAW OFFICES OF YOLANDA HUANG 499 14th Street, Suite 300 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 839-1200		
9	Facsimile:(510) 444-6698E-Mail:yhuang.law@gmail.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11	L.F. and K.F.		
12 13	A Professional Corporation 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard, Second Floor Stockton, California 95207-8253 Telephone (209) 477-3833 MARK E. BERRY, ESQ. CA State Bar No.155091		
14			
15			
16			
17 18	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, ERIC T. JONES, and DAVID WELLS		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20			
21	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
22	L.F., et al.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB	
23	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	
24	VS.	FOR FILING OF FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f) MOTIONS; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
25	CITY OF STOCKTON, et al.,		
26	Defendants.		
27	Pursuant to E.D. Cal. L.R. 143 and 144, the parties, Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. (collectively,		
28	"Plaintiffs") and Defendants City of Stockton, Stockton Police Department, Eric T. Jones, and David		
	1 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f) MOTIONS		
	L.r. v. City of Stockton, United States District Court, East	ern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB Dockets.Justia.c	

Wells (collectively, "Defendants"), stipulate to a 7-day extension of time for the filing of Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) motions in relation to Defendants' responsive pleadings, ECF Nos. 13, 14, and 15.

STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

On September 29, 2017, Defendants filed their currently-operative First Amended Answers. *See* ECF Nos. 13, 14, and 15. Plaintiffs sought from Defendants the withdrawal or amendment of the affirmative defenses alleged therein, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f). A party is obligated to file a Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) motion "within 21 days after being served with the pleading" to be challenged. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f)(2). Accordingly, by regular operation of the Rule, Plaintiffs must file Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) motions to strike the affirmative defenses from Defendants' pleadings by October 20, 2017.

Pursuant to the Court's Order, ECF No. 3-1 at 3:13-22, the parties' counsel have engaged in extensive meet and confer efforts, including written correspondences and a telephonic conference, concerning the affirmative defenses alleged in Defendants' currently-operative First Amended Answers. Those efforts remain ongoing, as of this date.

STIPULATION

The parties hereby stipulate that Plaintiffs shall receive a 7-day extension of time to file Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) motions to strike the affirmative defenses alleged in Defendants' currently-operative First Amended Answers, ECF Nos. 13, 14, and 15. By application of this extension, Plaintiffs shall file their Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) motions by October 27, 2017.

IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: October 20, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN

/s/ Mark E. Merin

	By: Mark E. Merin
	Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dated: October 20, 2017	MAYALL, HURLEY, P.C.
	/s/ Mark E. Berry (as authorized on October 20, 2017) By: Mark E. Berry Attorney for Defendants 2

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f) MOTIONS L.F. v. City of Stockton, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	The parties stipulate that Plaintiffs shall receive a 7-day extension of time to file Fed. R. Civ. P.		
3	12(f) motions to strike the affirmative defenses alleged in Defendants' currently-operative First Amended		
4	Answers, ECF Nos. 13, 14, and 15. By application of this extension, Plaintiffs shall file their Fed. R. Civ.		
5	P. 12(f) motions by October 27, 2017.		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated: October 23, 2017.		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
	3 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f) MOTIONS		
	L.F. v. City of Stockton, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB		