1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849)</li> <li>Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805)</li> <li>LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN</li> <li>1010 F Street, Suite 300</li> <li>Sacramento, California 95814</li> <li>Telephone: (916) 443-6911</li> <li>Facsimile: (916) 447-8336</li> <li>E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com</li> <li>Yolanda Huang (State Bar No. 104543)</li> <li>LAW OFFICES OF YOLANDA HUANG</li> <li>499 14th Street, Suite 300</li> <li>Oakland, California 94612</li> <li>Telephone: (510) 839-1200</li> <li>Facsimile: (510) 444-6698</li> <li>E-Mail: yhuang.law@gmail.com</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiffs</li> <li>L.F. and K.F.</li> <li>MAYALL, HURLEY, P.C.</li> <li>A Professional Corporation</li> <li>2453 Grand Canal Boulevard, Second Floor</li> <li>Stockton, California 95207-8253</li> <li>Telephone (209) 477-3833</li> <li>MARK E. BERRY, ESQ.</li> <li>CA State Bar No.155091</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendants</li> <li>CITY OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON</li> <li>POLICE DEPARTMENT, ERIC T. JONES,</li> </ul>		
18	and DAVID WELLS		
19 20			
20	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
21			
22	L.F., et al.,	No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB	
23	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
24			
25	CITY OF STOCKTON, et al.,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28	1		
		<b>DTECTIVE ORDER</b> rn District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB	

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1.

# PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Defendant City of Stockton seeks disclosure and discovery from Plaintiff L.F. and K.F. that involves production of private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation is warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to 6 discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items identified herein.

#### 2. SCOPE

10 The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover: (1) the identity of Plaintiffs L.F. 11 and K.F.'s current school; and (2) the identity of Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F.'s current home address. The 12 parties agree that this information is private and should be disclosed only to Defendant City of Stockton.

#### 13 3. **DURATION**

14 Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order 15 shall remain in effect until Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. agree otherwise in writing or a Court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this 16 action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all 17 18 appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any 19 motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

20

4.

### ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

21 4.1 Basic Principles. Defendant City of Stockton may use the protected information that is disclosed 22 or produced by Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or 23 attempting to settle this litigation. Such protected information may be disclosed only to Defendant City 24 of Stockton. This information is not to be disclosed to or shared with Defendants Stockton Police 25 Department, Eric T. Jones, or David M. Wells. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving 26 Party must comply with the provisions of section 7 below (FINAL DISPOSITION). The protected 27 information must be stored and maintained by Defendant City of Stockton at a location and in a secure 28 manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

4.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court
or permitted in writing by Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F., Defendant City of Stockton may disclose the protected
information only to: (a) Defendant City of Stockton's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well
as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the
information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound"
that is attached hereto as Exhibit A; or (b) the Court and its personnel. This information is not to be
disclosed to or shared with Defendants Stockton Police Department, Eric T. Jones, or David M. Wells.

8

5.

# UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

9 If Defendant City of Stockton learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed the
10 protected information to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated
11 Protective Order, Defendant City of Stockton must immediately (a) notify in writing Plaintiffs L.F. and
12 K.F. of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the
13 protected information, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of
14 all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and
15 Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

# 16 6. MISCELLANEOUS

17 6.1 <u>Right to Further Relief</u>. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its
18 modification by the Court in the future.

19 6.2 <u>Right to Assert Other Objections</u>. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party
20 waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on
21 any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to
22 object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

6.3 <u>Filing Protected Information</u>. Without written permission from Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. or a court
order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in
this action any protected information. A Party that seeks to file under seal any protected information must
comply with E.D. Cal. L.R. 141. Protected information may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court
order authorizing the sealing of the specific protected information at issue.

28

 $\langle \rangle \rangle$ 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

27

28

7.

# FINAL DISPOSITION

Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, Defendant City of Stockton must return all protected information to Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. or destroy such material. As used in this subdivision, "all protected information" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the protected information. Whether the protected information is returned or destroyed, Defendant City of Stockton must submit a written certification to Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. by the 60 day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the protected information that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that Defendant City of Stockton has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the protected information. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain protected information. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 3 (DURATION).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

17	Dated: October 19, 2018
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Dated: October 19, 2018
24	
25	
26	

### LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN

/s/ Paul H. Masuhara

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Paul H. Masuhara Attorney for Plaintiffs L.F. and K.F. MAYALL, HURLEY, P.C. /s/ Mark E. Berry (as authorized on October 19, 2018) By: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Mark E. Berry

Attorney for Defendants CITY OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, ERIC T. JONES, and DAVID WELLS

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER L.F. v. City of Stockton, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB

4

2 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

1. Requests to seal documents shall be made by motion before the same judge who will decide the matter related to that request to seal.

ORDER

2. The designation of documents (including transcripts of testimony) as confidential pursuant to this order does not automatically entitle the parties to file such a document with the court under seal. Parties are advised that any request to seal documents in this district is governed by Local Rule 141. In brief, Local Rule 141 provides that documents may only be sealed by a written order of the court after a specific request to seal has been made. L.R. 141(a). However, a mere request to seal is not enough under the local rules. In particular, Local Rule 141(b) requires that "[t]he 'Request to Seal Documents' shall set forth the statutory or other authority for sealing, the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons 13 to be permitted access to the document, and all relevant information." L.R. 141(b).

3. A request to seal material must normally meet the high threshold of showing that "compelling" reasons" support secrecy; however, where the material is, at most, "tangentially related" to the merits of a case, the request to seal may be granted on a showing of "good cause." Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-1102 (9th Cir. 2016); Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006).

4. Nothing in this order shall limit the testimony of parties or non-parties, or the use of certain documents, at any court hearing or trial – such determinations will only be made by the court at the hearing or trial, or upon an appropriate motion.

5. With respect to motions regarding any disputes concerning this protective order which the parties cannot informally resolve, the parties shall follow the procedures outlined in Local Rule 251. Absent a showing of good cause, the court will not hear discovery disputes on an exparte basis or on shortened time.

25 6. The parties may not modify the terms of this Protective Order without the court's approval. If 26 the parties agree to a potential modification, they shall submit a stipulation and proposed order for the 27 court's consideration.

28 ////

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

1	7. Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(f), the court will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement of the	
2	terms of this Protective Order after the action is terminated.	
3	8. Any provision in the parties' stipulation that is in conflict with anything in this order is hereby	
4	DISAPPROVED.	
5	Dated: October 19, 2018	
6		
7	tuant	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10 11		
11		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	DLB:6	
22	DB\orders\orders.civil\lf1648.stip.prot.ord	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
	L.F. v. City of Stockton, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB	

I,	, of		
leclare under penalty of perjury that I	have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective		
Order that was issued by the United St	tates District Court for the Eastern District of California on		
, 20	_, in the case of L.F. et al. v. City of Stockton et al., Case No.		
2:17-cv-01648-KJM-DB. I agree to co	mply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated		
Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to			
sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any			
manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or enti except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.			
			I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if
such enforcement proceedings occur a	fter termination of this action.		
I hereby appoint	of		
as my California agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related			
enforcement of this Stipulated Protecti	ive Order.		
Date:			
City and State where sworn and	d signed:		
Printed name:			
Signature:			