

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY C. HERNANDEZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOE LIZZARAGA, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1681-TLN-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed May 1, 2018, the court found that service of the complaint is appropriate for defendant Clark-Barlow. ECF No. 11. The court informed plaintiff he could proceed against defendant Clark-Barlow or file an amended complaint within 30 days that also states a claim against defendants Lizzaraga and CDCR. *Id.* The time for amending has passed and plaintiff has elected to proceed only with the claim against defendant Clark-Barlow. *See* ECF No. 24.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff’s claims against defendants Lizzaraga and CDCR be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections
4 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v.*
5 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: September 26, 2018.

7 
8 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28