1			
1			
2			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LARRY AVILA,	No. 2:17-cv-01686 MCE CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	A. MOGHYUDDIN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Py on order filed August 17, 2017, pl	aintiff was ordered to file a completed in forms	
18	By an order filed August 17, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma		
19	pauperis application or pay the filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so		
20	would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order.		
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without		
22	prejudice. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days		
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written		
26	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned		
27	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the		
28	1		

1	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
2	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
3	appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: September 27, 2017	Caroh U. Delany
5		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	12/avil1686.fifp.doc	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2