1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER BRIAN ROGERS,	No. 2:17-cv-1687 MCE KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	WILLIAM MUNIZ,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, with an application	
18	for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On November 21, 2017, respondent filed	
19	a motion to dismiss on the grounds that the p	etition is successive under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b); fails
20	to assert a federally cognizable claim; is untit	mely under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d); and the claim is
21	unexhausted. Petitioner did not file an oppos	ition to the motion. Local Rule 230(1) provides in
22	part: "Failure of the responding party to file	written opposition or to file a statement of no
23	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any op	pposition to the granting of the motion" <u>Id.</u>
24	Review of the motion demonstrates the	at respondent's arguments are well-taken. First,
25	because petitioner solely challenges the valid	ity of the restitution order, he does not satisfy the "in
26	custody" requirement. The fact that a petition	ner is in physical custody when he files a petition
27	challenging a restitution order is not sufficien	t to confer jurisdiction. <u>United States v. Thiele</u> , 314
28	F.3d 399, 402 (9th Cir. 2002). "The writ of h	abeas corpus shall not extend to a prisoner unless
		1

1	he is in custody under or by color of authority of the United States" 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(1).	
2	"Because the "in custody" requirement is jurisdictional, "it is the first question we must	
3	consider." <u>Williamson v. Gregoire</u> , 151 F.3d 1180, 1182 (9th Cir. 1998) (citation omitted). To	
4	be in custody generally requires a restraint on liberty not experienced by the public generally. <u>Id.</u>	
5	Collateral consequences of a conviction, such as a fine, loss of a license, or a restitution order, do	
6	not satisfy the in-custody requirement. <u>Bailey v. Hill</u> , 599 F.3d 976, 978-79 (9th Cir. 2010);	
7	Williamson, 151 F.3d at 1183. Imposition of a restitution order does not constitute "a significant	
8	restraint on liberty." <u>Bailey</u> , 599 F.3d at 979. Therefore, the instant petition must be dismissed	
9	because petitioner fails to satisfy the "in custody" requirement.	
10	Second, petitioner previously filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus attacking the	
11	2010 conviction and sentence challenged in this case. The previous application was filed on	
12	August 26, 2015, and was denied on the merits on July 5, 2016. Rogers v. Arnold, No. 2:15-cv-	
13	1805 TLN EFB P. ¹ Before petitioner can proceed with the instant application, he must move in	
14	the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for an order authorizing the district court	
15	to consider the application. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). Therefore, petitioner's application must be	
16	dismissed without prejudice.	
17	Because dismissal is required on such two grounds, the court need not reach respondent's	
18	alternative arguments.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:	
20	1. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 12) be granted; and	
21	2. This action be dismissed without prejudice.	
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
26	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the	
27	$\frac{1}{1}$ Petitioner's appeal remains pending in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, No. 16-	
28	16414.	
	2	

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: January 11, 2018
4	Ferdal D. Newman
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	/roge1687.succ
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	3