1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOMINIQUE MERRIMAN,	No. 2:17-cv-1701 MCE KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JOE LIZARRAGA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed October 10, 2018, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to	
18	the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants	
19	Rodriguez, Ramos and Miana. That thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not	
20	responded in any way to the court's order.	
21	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that defendants Rodriguez, Ramos and Miana be	
22	dismissed from this action without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
23	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
26	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
27	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
28	/////	

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th	
2	Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: January 25, 2019	
4	Ferdal J. Newman	
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN  merr1701.fus  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		