1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STANLEY JEROME JACKSON No. 2:17-cv-1707 TLN CKD P BAILEY, 12 Plaintiff, 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 CALIFORNIA ARMY NATIONAL 15 GUARD. 16 Defendant. 17 By order filed January 4, 2018, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and he was given 18 19 thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 9.) After the thirty-day period expired 20 21 given an additional fourteen days to file an amended complaint and warned that failure to do so 22 would result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed without prejudice for failure to

without plaintiff filing an amended complaint or otherwise responding to the court's order, he was prosecute. (ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff has once again failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise respond.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23

24

25

26

27

28

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days

after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 23, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13:bail1707.fta.f&r