1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KASEY F. HOFFMAN, No. 2:17-cv-1734-WBS-EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** v. 14 LASSEN COUNTY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 23, 2019, the court entered an order directing plaintiff to show cause 19 why this action should not be dismissed. ECF No. 9. The court noted that a substantially similar 20 action before a different magistrate judge in this district had been dismissed when plaintiff proved 21 unable to join a necessary party. *Id.* at 1-2. In its order, the court directed plaintiff to indicate 22 whether he had a reasonable belief that the party could be joined in this action. *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff 23 was afforded fourteen days to respond to the order to show cause. Id. That deadline has expired 24 and he has not filed a response. Plaintiff has abandoned this action or conceded that he is unable 25 to join a necessary party. 26 ///// 27 ///// 28 ///// 1

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: July 23, 2019.

S/m11.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE