1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HERMAN RENE ONTIVEROS,	No. 2:17-cv-1740 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
15	CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuan	
19	U.S.C. § 1983 together with a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to	
20	U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff has not, however, filed his application for leave to proceed in fo	

nt to 42 28 orma pauperis on the form used by this district. Accordingly, plaintiff's application will be dismissed and plaintiff will be provided the opportunity to submit the application on the appropriate form.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff also requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider

1 plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his 2 claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 3 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). 4 The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances 5 common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not 6 establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. 7 Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to 8 meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of 9 counsel at this time. 10 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 11 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is dismissed without 12 prejudice; 13 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new Application to Proceed In 14 Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner; 15 3. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, a completed 16 application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result 17 in dismissal; and 4. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 3) is denied. 18 19 Dated: August 24, 2017 20 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 onti1740.3d+31 25

26

27

28