1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHERMAN BRANDON,	No. 2:17-cv-1763 DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	M. KHALIL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants violated his rights under the	
19	Americans with Disabilities Act and the Eighth Amendment.	
20	By order dated November 13, 2018, plaintiff's complaint was screened and dismissed for	
21	failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff was directed to file an amended complaint within	
22	thirty days and warned that failure to file an amended complaint would result in a	
23	recommendation that this action be dismissed. Thereafter, plaintiff moved for and was granted	
24	two extensions of time in which to file an amended complaint. (ECF Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14.)	
25	Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint and on May 3, 2019, plaintiff was directed to	
26	either dismiss this action or file an amended complaint within fourteen days. He was again	
27	warned that failure to comply with the court's order would result in a recommendation that this	
28	////	

action be dismissed. Those fourteen days have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, updated his address, or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a district judge to this action. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: May 28, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB:12 DLB:1/Orders/Prisoner/Civil.Rights/bran1763.fsc