1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARCELLIOUS TUCKER,	No. 2:17-cv-1798 MCE KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JAROM A. DASZKO, M.D.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a former prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. By an order filed	
18	May 15, 2020, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within sixty days,	
19	the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on the sole remaining defendant, Dr. Daszko.	
20	That sixty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's	
21	order.	
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
23	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
28	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
		1

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
2	Cir. 1991).
2	
	Dated: July 29, 2020
4 5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN
5	/tuck1798.fusm KENDALL J. NEŴMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2