

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER LAWRENCE
BRADLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY MILLER, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1834-MCE-KJN PS

ORDER

On December 6, 2017, the assigned district judge adopted the undersigned’s findings and recommendations, and dismissed the case with prejudice. (ECF No. 12.) Thereafter, on December 13, 2017, plaintiff filed tardy objections to the already-adopted findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 14.) Subsequently, on January 3, 2018, plaintiff also filed a notice of appeal accompanied by a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. (ECF Nos. 17, 18.)

Plaintiff’s tardy objections to the already-adopted findings and recommendations are **OVERRULED** as untimely. Plaintiff was provided with an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations within a specified period of time, and in fact submitted timely objections on November 15, 2017 (ECF No. 9), which were considered by the district judge. (ECF No. 12.)

Furthermore, plaintiff’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is **DENIED AS**


1 MOOT, because plaintiff has already been granted *in forma pauperis* status on October 27, 2017
2 (ECF No. 6), which continues automatically on appeal.

3 No further motions will be entertained in this already-closed case.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 This order resolves ECF Nos. 14 and 18.

6 Dated: January 5, 2018

7 
8 _____
9 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28