1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CARLOS ROMERO BURNETT, No. 2: 17-cv-1856 GEB KJN P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 CASKEY, et al., 15 Defendant. 16 17 Pursuant to the mailbox rule, on December 21, 2017, plaintiff filed a request for 18 reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed December 13, 2017 dismissing his complaint 19 with leave to amend. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be 20 upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." <u>Id.</u> Upon review of the entire file, the court 21 finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to 22 law. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the 23 24 magistrate judge filed December 13, 2017 is affirmed. 25 Dated: January 24, 2018 26 27 28 States District Judge