1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHERRI NORRIS,	No. 2:17-cv-01861 CKD
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social Security,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	to the representation of plaintiff before this court. Plaintiff's counsel avers that EAJA fees in this	
21	case were withheld pursuant to a federal debt by plaintiff and, as a result, counsel has not received	
22	any fees in this case and requests the entire 406(b) amount without an EAJA offset. (ECF No.	
23	22.) Defendant has filed a statement of non-opposition. (ECF No. 24.)	
24	42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) provides, in relevant part:	
25	this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney,	
26	reasonable fee for such represen	d allow as part of its judgment a ntation, not in excess of 25 percent of
27	the total of the past-due benefit reason of such judgment.	s to which the claimant is entitled by
28		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

Rather than being paid by the government, fees under the Social Security Act are awarded out of the claimant's disability benefits. Russell v. Sullivan, 930 F.2d 1443, 1446 (9th Cir. 1991), receded from on other grounds, Sorenson v. Mink, 239 F.3d 1140, 1149 (9th Cir. 2001). However, the 25 percent statutory maximum fee is not an automatic entitlement; the court also must ensure that the requested fee is reasonable. Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 808-09 (2002) ("We hold that § 406(b) does not displace contingent-fee agreements within the statutory ceiling; instead, § 406(b) instructs courts to review for reasonableness fees yielded by those agreements."). "Within the 25 percent boundary ... the attorney for the successful claimant must show that the fee sought is reasonable for the services rendered." Id. at 807.

Counsel seeks fees for 25.45 hours. The Court has considered the character of counsel's representation and the good results achieved by counsel, which included an award entitling plaintiff to \$87,574.50 in past-due benefits. (ECF No. 22-3.) Counsel has submitted a billing statement documenting a total of 25.45 attorney hours in 2017, 2018, and 2019. (ECF No. 22-4.) There is no indication that a reduction of the award is warranted due to any substandard performance by plaintiff's counsel, as counsel secured a successful result. There is also no evidence that plaintiff's counsel engaged in any dilatory conduct resulting in delay.

Based on the quality of counsel's representation and the results achieved in this case, the undersigned finds the number of hours expended to be reasonable. Accordingly, the undersigned will award the amount of attorney fees requested.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's amended motion for attorney fees (ECF No. 24) is granted; and
- 2. Plaintiff's counsel is awarded \$15,893.63 in attorney fees pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 406.

Dated: September 22, 2020

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24

21

22

23

25

26

27

2/norris1861.fee-406(a)