(PC) Cato v. Darst e	et al	Doc. 3	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	IAMES CATO	Coso No. 2:17 ov 01972 TI N EED (DC)	
12			
13		[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER	
14	v.		
15	M. DARST, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Having reviewed Defendants' Motion for S	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. 2:17-cv-01873 TLN EFB (PC)  [PROPOSED] ORDER  DARST, et al.,  Defendants.  Having reviewed Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion for Stay of covery, this Court finds good cause to grant Defendants' Motion for Stay of Discovery. Thus, the Court denies Defendants' motion, once that decision becomes final, the parties shall have by (30) days' time from the date of the order denying summary judgment to serve responses to	
19	Discovery, this Court finds good cause to grant Defendants' Motion for Stay of Discovery. Thus,		
20	if the Court denies Defendants' motion, once that decision becomes final, the parties shall have		
21	thirty (30) days' time from the date of the order of	denying summary judgment to serve responses to	
22	any pending discovery request served before the date of this Order.		
23	Datab. Oatab. v. 17, 2010	Elmun OT Risino	
24	Dated: October 17, 2019.		
25			
26			
27			
28			