1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LANCE WILLIAMS,	No. 2:17-cv-1884 TLN DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ROMERO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		1
17	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42	
18	U.S.C. §1983. On September 8, 2023, this court ordered the parties to file pretrial statements.	
19	Plaintiff's statement was due February 23, 2024. (ECF No. 195.) He has not filed one.	
20	Defendants timely filed their statement on March 8, 2024. (ECF No. 196.)	
21	Pretrial statements under Local Rule 281 are a necessary step in preparing this case for	
22	trial. In the September 8 order, this court advised the parties that "failure to file a pretrial	
23	statement may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of this action." (ECF No.	
24	195 at 5.) Plaintiff has had more than ample time to prepare and file a pretrial statement. He has	
25	failed to file the statement or seek an extension of time to do so. Based on plaintiff's failure to	
26	prosecute this action in any way since the issuance of the September 8 order, this court finds any	
27	sanction short of dismissal would be futile. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; E.D. Cal. R. 110.	
28	////	1
	1	

1	Accordingly, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this	
2	action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order.	
3	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
4	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one days	
5	after being served with these findings and recommendations, either party may file written	
6	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
7	Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the	
8	specified time may result in waiver of the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v.	
9	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
10	DATED: March 25, 2024	
11		
12		
13	<u>/s/ DEBORAH BARNES</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	DLB:9	
28	DLB:9 DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/will1884.fr dism 2	