

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANCE WILLIAMS,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

ROMERO, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-1884 TLN DB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants subjected him to excessive force and were deliberately indifferent to his medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment. Before the court are plaintiff’s requests for: (1) an extension of time to file an opposition to the pending motion for summary judgment, (2) discovery, and (3) a court order permitting him to communicate with prisoner witnesses. Defendants filed an opposition to the discovery requests and plaintiff filed a reply.

In his reply, plaintiff points out that Exhibit C to the Declaration of N. Difuntorum, submitted in support of defendants’ summary judgment motion, is incorrect. This court agrees. N. Difuntorum identifies the exhibit as a copy of “a redacted TeleStaff report that was generated to show the staff members who worked in Facility A during third watch (2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.) on March 6, 2015.” However, as plaintiff points out, the date on the report is March 9, 2015 not

////

1 March 6, 2015. To permit this court to fully consider plaintiff's requests, this court will give
2 defendants an opportunity to correct this error.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within ten (10) days of the date of this
4 order, defendants shall provide a revised or supplemental declaration of N. Difuntorum with the
5 March 6, 2015 TeleStaff report for Facility A during third watch.

6 Dated: March 16, 2020

7
8 
9 DEBORAH BARNES
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 DLB:9
19 DB/prisoner-civil rights/will1884.msg oppo supp
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28