Doc. 34 (PC) Evans v. Harrison 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RICHARD ANTHONY EVANS, No. 2:17-cv-1888 AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND 15 REHABILITATION, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil 19 rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to this court 20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 21 Before the court are plaintiff's motion for subpoenas and his motion to change defendant's 22 counsel, both filed on April 22, 2019. See ECF Nos, 32, 33. For the reasons stated below, the 23 motions will be denied. 24 On April 1, 2019, the court issued an order referring this matter to its Post-Screening 25 Alternative Dispute Resolution Project. ECF No. 27. As a result, the matter has been stayed for 26 120 days so that the parties can investigate plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and participate in a 27 settlement conference. See ECF No. 27 at 1. In sum, the stay serves to halt all standard civil 28 proceedings.

1

Plaintiff was reminded of the stay order in the court's April 19, 2019 denial of the discovery-related motion he filed on April 15, 2019. See ECF Nos. 30, 31. At that time, plaintiff was also reminded of the 30-day time-period within which he must inform the court whether he wishes to appear at the settlement conference in person or via videoconference. See ECF No. 31 at 2. Despite these facts, on April 22, 2019, plaintiff filed the two instant motions, and he has yet to return the option form to the court.

The court informs plaintiff for the <u>third</u> time that any and all proceedings in this matter have been stayed. <u>See ECF Nos. 27, 31</u>. This means that with rare exception, this court will <u>not</u> consider any filings presented to it that are not related to the pending, court-ordered settlement conference proceedings.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for subpoenas (ECF No. 32) and motion to change defendant's counsel (ECF No. 33), filed April 22, 2019, are DENIED as improper;
- 2. Plaintiff shall file the Notice Re: Plaintiff's Appearance at Settlement Conference (Post-Screening ADR Project) by the date given in the court's April 1, 2019 order (see ECF No. 27 at 3-4), and
- 3. If plaintiff fails to file the notice by the deadline, if settlement proceedings take place, plaintiff will be required to participate in the proceedings in person at the Eastern District of California's courthouse located in Sacramento.

DATED: April 24, 2019

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE