1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ROGER DRIVER,	Case No. 2:17-cv-01968-KJN
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	V.	ORDER
13	PAPE TRUCKS, INC. an Oregon Corporation;	
14	Defendant.	
15	Defendant.	
16	PAPE TRUCKS, INC.	
17	Third-Party Plaintiff,	
18	v.	
19	JOMAR INVESTMENTS, INC. dba NEW	
20	LIFE TRANSPORT PARTS CENTER, and ROES 1-10, Inclusive,	
21	Third-Party Defendants.	
22		
23	ACCIDENT FUND INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA,	
24	Plaintiff-in-Intervention,	
25	,	
26	V.	
27	PAPE TRUCKS, INC.,	
28	Defendant.	

The court is in receipt of intervenor Accident Fund Insurance Company of America's complaint and consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (ECF Nos. 55, 56.) Given the current stage of litigation, the parties are instructed to review the dates and deadlines contained in the current scheduling order. (ECF No. 49.) The parties are instructed to discuss amongst themselves whether these dates are still workable; if the dates are no longer feasible the parties are advised to file a joint proposal modifying the scheduling order. If the parties cannot reach a consensus they are instructed to file their own proposals, and proposed orders, for the court's consideration. If the court does not receive any modification requests from the parties within twenty-one (21) days of this order, the court will presume the current scheduling order is agreeable to the parties.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: June 1, 2020

/1968.driver